

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,421		04/30/2001	Keith Moll	1535	3410
28005	7590	04/06/2005		EXAMINER	
SPRINT		***	LE, HIEU C		
6391 SPRINT PARKWAY KSOPHT0101-Z2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100			2142		
	,			DATE MAILED: 04/06/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/845,421	MOLL ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Hieu c. Le	2142					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faild Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 FIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply popeniod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Fe	ebruary 2005.						
•	•	action is non-final.						
3)□								
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.							
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) 17 is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7)								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
	☐ The specimeation is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 April 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
,,,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau	· ·	Ū					
* 5	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te atent Application (PTO-152)					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atter (pproduct) (r 10-102)					

Application/Control Number: 09/845,421

Art Unit: 2142

Page 2

1. The amendment file 2/16/04 have been entered and made of record.

2. Applicant's arguments, see a remarks page 10, lines 1-22, filed 2/16/05, with respect to claims 1,3,9,15 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The prior art of record has been withdrawn.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper tames extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 2142

4. Claims 1-17, are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1-18 of application # 09/840340. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims have the same limitations and if allowed, would improperly extendly the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

Claims 1,3,9,15 of the instant Applicant recites the same limitations of claims 1,8 & 10 of application #09/840340.

Claims 2,4-8,10-14,15-16 recites the same steps in claims 2-7,9,11-18 of application # 09/840340.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2142

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hieu Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-3897. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Harvey, can be reached on (571) 272-3897. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-9051.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Hieu Le

ERVO.